

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,214 02/13/2004		Takanori Nagai	040894-7002	2497
9629	7590 09/15/2005	EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			LEE, SUSAN SHUK YIN	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004		W	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2852	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/777,214	NAGAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Susan S. Lee	2852	

Advisory Action	10/777,214	NAGAI ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Susan S. Lee	2852			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);			
<ul><li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li><li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li></ul>					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected ciaims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	,		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: - Claim(s) allowed: <u>16-18 and 21-24</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4 and 11</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-10-15,19, 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.					
See Section Se		111	•		
		Susan S. Lee			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2852			

Application No. 10/777,214

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations added to claim 15 "the developer discharge port is provided at an outer end of the cylindrical wall or an outer peripheral surface of an end wall of the closing lid" were not in the original claim 15 in combination with the rest of the limitations in claim 15.

Continuation of 13. Other: The following claims have errors - claim 5, line 4, "a axis" should be - - an axis - - ;claim 15, line 16, "the cylindrical wall" lacks antecedent basis; claim 21, "the washed container body" and "the washed closing lid" lack antecedent basis; claim 24, lines 21-22, "the cylindrical developer discharge tube" lacks antecedent basis, and line 33, "the developer discharge auger" should be - - the developer discharging auger - - ...